

REPORT

BY

SIR ALEXANDER R. BINNIE,

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE LORD LIEUTENANT.

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by Command of His Majesty



DUBLIN:

PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY ALEXANDER THOM & CO. (LIMITED), ABBEY-STREET

And to be purchased either directly or through any Bookseller, from
E. PEARSON, 116, Grafton-street, Dublin; or
WYMAN and SONS, Ltd, Fetter-lane, E.C., and
32, Abingdon-street, Westminster, S.W.; or
OLIVER & BOYD, Edinburgh.

1906.

[Cd. 2855]. Price 4d.

SALE OF GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS.

Keywords: organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior, turnover, organizational trust, organizational identification

REPORT

BY

SIR ALEXANDER R. BINNIE,

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE LORD LIEUTENANT.

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by Command of His Majesty.



DUBLIN :

PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY ALEXANDER THOM & CO. (LIMITED), ABBEY-STREET.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from
E. PONSONBY, 116, Grafton-street, Dublin ; or
WYMAN and SONS, Ltd., Fetter-lane, E.C., and
33, Abingdon-street, Westminster, S.W. ; or
OLIVER & BOYD, Edinburgh.

1906

[Cd. 2855]. Price 4d.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

REPORT

BY

SIR ALEX. R. BINNIE.

16th January, 1906.

INDEX.

	PAGE.
1. Introduction,	1
2. Drainage area,	2
3. Rainfall,	3
4. Flow from Drainage Area above Toome,	6
5. Flood of November, 1866,	6
6. Great Flood of February, 1877,	6
6A. Rainstorm of August, 1905,	7
7. Probable discharge at Toome,	7
8. Summer discharge at Toome,	9
9. Flood discharge below Toome,	9
10. Causes of Flooding on the shores of Lough Neagh,	9
11. Maintenance of the Lower Bann,	11
12. Works required between Toome and Portna,	12
13. The Lower Bann between Portna and the Cutts,	14
14. Fisheries on the River,	15
15. Probable Cost,	15
Conclusion,	16

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE LORD LIEUTENANT OF IRELAND.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

(1).—INTRODUCTION.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

In obedience to the instructions of the Irish Government conveyed to me by letter of the 25th April, 1905, I have the honour to report that I visited Lough Neagh and the River Bann, and devoted the time from the 7th August to the 26th September, 1905, to a thorough investigation of all the circumstances of the case, in which I had the use of a steam launch, obtained through the kindness of J. R. Wilson, Esq., of Ennismore.

By this means I was able to visit in detail almost every part of Lough Neagh, including, among other places, Ballyronan, Newport Trench, near Arbo, the lower part of the River Blackwater, the Upper Bann as far as Portadown, the Lagan Navigation, the Tunny Cut, which drains Portmore Lough, and the little harbour at Antrim, and made a thorough study of the discharge of the water from the Lake at Toome.

Much of my time was occupied in repeated visits to all the principal points on the Lower Bann between Toome and the Cutts of Coleraine, investigating the various weirs, shoals, and other obstructions on the river.

I made it my duty to consult with the authorities interested in the Fisheries, and particularly with those of the Eel Fisheries at Toome, with whom I made a detailed inspection from Toome to Carnroe. I also had an interview with Mr. MacDermot, representing the Salmon Fisheries at the Cutts; and I am much indebted for valuable information and assistance afforded me by Mr. Ellis, of Toome, who represents the Eel Fisheries at that place.

I had the good fortune to meet and confer with members of the Bann Drainage Conference Committee, who represent interests on the Upper Bann.

On another occasion I conferred with a deputation of the Agivey Bann Drainage Association who represent landowners on the Lower Bann.

I called on and conferred with William Moore, Esq., K.C., M.P., Colonel Bruce, of Ballyscullion, Percival Gauson, Esq., who is interested in land near Toome Bridge, Harry Barton, Esq., of The Bush, Antrim, and many small landowners, fishermen, and others. I also received valuable assistance from W. O'Neill, Esq., the Engineer of the Bann Drainage Commissioners.

I had the good fortune to be able to study the effects of the heavy rain-storm of the 25th and 26th August, 1905, both as regards flooding at Portadown, the rise of Lough Neagh, and the discharge of flood water down the Lower Bann.

I have given careful attention to the reports and evidence of the Royal Commissions, presided over by Lord Monck and Sir James Allport, and have studied the Reports of Mr. MacMahon, Mr. Manning, the copious notes furnished me of the investigations of Mr. Gamble, as well as the last report of Mr. Dick, and I have been materially assisted by the voluminous plans, sections and papers furnished for my information by T. H. Batchen, Esq., of the Office of Public Works.

The general result of my investigations convinces me that the whole question is much more difficult and complicated than a first view would lead one to assume; this to a large extent arises not so much from engineering difficulties as from the conflicting interests involved, as there have to

be taken into account not only the flooded lands, but the considerable navigation now carried on between the Lagan Canal and the various points above noted on the shores of Lough Neagh, while on the Lower Bann from Toome to the Cutts of Coleraine the question is complicated by the valuable eel and salmon fisheries on the river; and by the existence of the Navigation, which was carried out on the lines suggested by Mr. MacMahon in his report of 1845.

As to the damage caused by floods on the shores of Lough Neagh, the opinions expressed to me were of a somewhat varying character. While there appeared to be a consensus of opinion that large winter floods, which submerged the land for some months, were the principal cause of complaint, some desired that all floods should be entirely prevented, while many others expressed the opinion that partial flooding, lasting for only a few days, or weeks, not only did no harm, but was in some cases a positive advantage.

On all sides there was, however, an unanimous consensus of opinion that the summer level of Lough Neagh should not be reduced, and I was much impressed by the considerable traffic which passes from Belfast through the Lagan Canal to Portadown, Moy, Coal Island, Antrim, Newport Trench, Ballyronan, and other points on the shores of the Lake.

Owing to the heavy rainstorm above spoken of, there is one fact which I was able to certify and to place beyond dispute, viz. :—That considerable flooding, although of a temporary character, occurs and must occur on the Blackwater and the Upper Bann, even when the Lake is at its summer level.

In fact, for some weeks previous to that rainstorm the lake had been below the summer level, and I heard of complaints being made on this account, but the flooding caused on this occasion was no more than might be expected as due to a similar rainfall in any flat area in England or Scotland, and soon passed off into the lake.

I should remark that the damage done on this occasion was principally due to the late hay harvest, and the washing away of haycocks which, according to the custom of this part of Ireland, are left standing in the fields till late into August, September or October.

(2).—DRAINAGE AREA.

In considering this question the first matter that engages attention is the area draining into Lough Neagh and into the Lower Bann between Toome and the Cutts of Coleraine.

I have carefully inquired into this subject and compared the estimate of former engineers with recent calculations made in my own office from the most modern Ordnance maps.

I am fully conscious that it is somewhat difficult in many cases owing to the flat nature of the country to determine the exact line of watershed, but believe that the figures given in the Table below are as accurate as the circumstances of the case permit :—

—	Per cent. of total area.	Square Miles.	Acres.	Square Feet.
Draining into Lough Neagh, ...	79	1,749	1,119,360	48,759,321,600
Area of Lough Neagh, ...	07	151	96,440	4,209,458,400
Total to Toome, ...	86	1,900	1,215,800	52,968,780,000
Toome to Portna, ...	06	184	85,740	3,735,705,600
Portna to the Cutts, ...	08	183	116,480	5,073,868,800
		2,216	1,418,040	61,778,354,400

It will be noticed that the total area draining down to the Cutts of Coleraine is 2,216 square miles.

This, as far as I can make out, is about 16 square miles in excess of former estimates.

This large drainage area is bounded on the North-east by the high hills which form the sources of the river Main, running up to altitudes of 1,325 feet at Slieve Nahanagman, 1,732 at Slieve Nanev, and 1,040 at Neill's Top. They fall on the eastward to 1,316 at Douglas Top, 1,558 at Agnew's Hill, and to 1,044 at Shane's Hill.

On the East also, at the head of the Six-Mile-Water, we find Ballyfore Hill 731, Carn Billy 941, and Carn Hill 1,025, Wolf Hill 1,210, Divis 1,567, Standing Stones 1,054, and White Mt. 820.

On the South-east the watershed falls to the low summit passed over by the Lagan Navigation.

To the South and South-east the drainage area of the Upper Bann runs up to considerable altitudes among the Mourne Mountains. For instance, 1,416 Cratlieve, Craigdoo 1,317, Slieve Mack 2,198, Shanlieve 2,053, and Cruggandoo 1,257. The watershed then falls to only about 30 feet above the level of the lake, where it passes the Newry Canal summit, rising again to altitudes of over 800 feet at Blackrock and Sugar Loaf Hill.

To the Southwards, at the head of the Blackwater by Newtownhamilton, Keady, and Monaghan, and the Ulster Canal, the dividing ridge is very low, but Westwards it rises to 1,255 feet at Doocarn, 858 at Ballyness, 1,035 at Slieve More, and 901 at Shanaharnagh's. Westwards near the head waters of the Ballindery and Moyola Rivers it rises to 1,261 at Oughtmore, 1,851 at Carnanally, to 2,070 at Mullaghaneany, falling to 1,521 near Carnogher, and 1,479 at Carnhill, from which point to the coast it falls gradually to 1,318 at Donal's Hill, 1,077 at Boyd's Mountain, and then by gradual descents to the river at the Cutts.

Within the drainage area of Lough Neagh, and lying between its shores and the foot of the hills above noticed, is a large area of low-lying land, and the shores of the lake are somewhat flat and uninteresting.

I roughly estimate that of the total area of 1,749 square miles draining to the lake, about 643 square miles lie below the level of the 250 feet contour—in other words only averaging about 100 feet above what is called the summer level of the lake.

These circumstances lead to the flooding of the low areas near the mouths of the rivers entering the lake, particularly on the lower parts of the Upper Bann and the Blackwater, consequently the rapidity with which floods are discharged from the surrounding mountains is somewhat checked, besides which the large area of Lough Neagh, 151 square miles, also modifies the intensity of flood discharge down the Lower Bann.

(3).—RAINFALL.

In estimating the flood discharge down the Lower Bann I find that the flow at Toome Weir is so complicated partly by the peculiar dished form of the weir, the amount of water that passes by leakage through it, and owing to the fact that it becomes drowned out when more than about 160,000 c. ft. per min. are passing over it, that it is unreliable as a means of gauging floods.

The weir at Portna also, owing to its peculiar shape, is open to difficulties, and I find that various estimates of its discharge at different depths have been made. Consequently, to form some idea of the probable flood water to be dealt with, careful study of the rainfall of the district becomes necessary.

For this purpose I have investigated the rainfall at forty-three stations either in or immediately contiguous to the drainage area of the Bann as given in Table No. 1.

To establish a standard, I have abstracted from Symons' British Rainfall the long records which have been kept for forty years at (1) Banbridge (Milltown), (2) Armagh Observatory, (3) Garvagh, (4) Queen's College, Belfast.

These four records are unbroken over the whole period excepting 1883 at Garvagh, and in 1902 at Belfast, values for which have been interpolated from the ratios of the other three stations.

Each year in each case has been reduced to a ratio of the mean, and for the purpose of examining the other records and reducing them from their arithmetical to probable mean falls, the average ratios of (1), (2), (3), and (4) have been taken.

From these average ratios it will be seen that the wettest year was 1872, rising to 36 per cent. above the average, with 224 wet days in the year, but in the cases of No. 1 Banbridge and No. 3 Garvagh it will be noticed that the rainfall was 48 and 40 per cent. above the average, with 239 and 238 wet days respectively. But it is necessary to take into account not only the wettest, but the two consecutive wettest years in the record; these appear to be 1876-77, when it was $16\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. above the average, and 1871 and 1872, when it was 15 per cent. above the average.

The above considerations should be borne in mind when flood discharge is being considered.

The driest year on the record appears to be 1887, when it fell to 27 per cent. below the average, and the two driest consecutive years were 1887 and 1888 with 16 per cent. below the average, and 1893-94 with $11\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. below the average.

It will be noticed that we may have years in which there are 224 wet days per annum, and if we take the average of all the stations in Table No. 1 we may expect 196 wet days in the year.

If for a moment we compare this with the rainfall of equal amounts at similar stations in England, we find that there are only about 173 wet days per annum.

On the index map attached to this report will be found within black circles under their appropriate numbers the probable true mean rainfall of the forty-three stations dealt with in Table No. 1. These stations, it will be noticed, are fairly equally distributed except in the case of the Moyola and Ballinderry Rivers, for which no records are available.

On the Lower Bann it will be seen that the rainfall amounts to from 37.7 at (No. 30) Ballymoney, to 39.3 at the long-established station at (No. 3) Garvagh.

Near the margin of the lake at Antrim (No. 13) it amounts to 31.9, but in the basin of the Maine at Ballymena (No. 12) it runs up to 40.8, and at Broughshane (No. 25) to 42.5.

At Crumlin (No. 43), near the lake, it is 34.6; at Aghalee (No. 22), near Lurgan, it is 32.6; at Lurgan (No. 20) it is 30 inches. In the Upper Bann drainage area (No. 1) Milltown, near Banbridge, it is 31.4. At Corbett Reservoir (No. 19) it is 30.5; at Katesbridge (No. 10) it is 29.2. At Rathfriland the average of the two stations No. 16 and 37 gives 34.1; at No. 41 (Hilltown) it rises to 43.7, and the average of the stations 15 and 28 at Lough Island Reavy give 43.2, showing heavier rainfall as we approach the Mourne Mountains.

In the flat area of the valley of the Blackwater it varies from 31.2, Caledon Glebe (No. 40), and 31.8 (No. 2) Armagh, up to 38.7 (No. 21) Dungannon.

In the Ballinderry area No. 11 (Stewartstown) it is 36.8, and at Ardree Rectory (No. 29) it is 36.7.

From these forty-three scattered gauges, taking the whole table, we may say that the average of the district would work out at about 36.4, but we have seen in the case of the Maine and the Upper Bann that wherever the stations approach the hills, the rainfall is almost certain to exceed 40 inches per annum.

To give some idea of what amount of rainfall may be expected at great altitudes, I have been favoured by J. Smyth, Esq., of Milltown, with the gauges taken in the years 1875 to 1877 at Foffanny on Butter Mountain,

above Lough Island Reavy Reservoir, at an altitude of 920 feet above Ordnance datum. The results are given below :—

Year.	Rainfall.	Ratio from Table 1.
1875	83.66	98
1876	82.68	109
1877	84.16	124
Average,	83.66	110

Reducing this average fall by 10 per cent., we arrive at a probable rainfall at this altitude in the Mourne Mountains of practically 75.6 inches.

It will be noticed from what I have said above, that the average of the two years 1876-77 were the wettest on record, but allowance has been made for this by averaging the three together.

A general review, therefore, of the meteorological conditions of the district to be dealt with leads us to a conclusion that the greater portion of the area is subject to a rainfall of 30 to 38 inches per annum, running up in some cases near the hills to over 40 inches, and that although this rainfall cannot be deemed excessive, yet, considering its amount, it is very evenly distributed on the average over the whole year; consequently resulting in a more or less saturated state of the ground at all times, which tends much to the discharge of heavy rains which may occur at exceptional periods.

We now have to consider what is the probable monthly rainfall to be dealt with.

Table No. 2 gives the monthly falls, which have to be taken account of, and which in past years have exceeded 7 inches in the month, I have, as set out in the Table, records of such falls amounting to or exceeding 7 inches in the month occurring at Antrim (No. 13) on five occasions, varying from 7 up to 9.58 inches; at Monaghan (No. 31) on one occasion 7.31 inches; Ballymena (No. 12) on one occasion 10.10 inches; Stewartstown (No. 29) on two occasions varying from 7.26 to 7.59 inches; Armagh (No. 2) on two occasions varying from 7.04 to 7.09.

If we take the average of these eleven monthly falls which have taken place in the drainage area during the past thirty-five years we find that they average at the rate of 7.78 inches per month.

Of course in this calculation we are unable, excepting in the case of the Upper Bann about Castlewellsan, to calculate what would be the heaviest monthly rainfall in the neighbourhood of the high hills and mountains which fringe portions of the drainage area.

In this case, however, during the years 1875-76-77 at Foffany there were fifteen months during which the monthly rainfall varied from 7 up to 19.05 inches per month, and averaged during the fifteen months of the record 11.08 inches. Consequently I think we should be only prudent in assuming that a rainfall of 8 inches may occur within the drainage area within a period of one month.

A reference to Table No. 3 will also show that quantities exceeding 10 and running up to as much as 18 inches may fall in two consecutive months.

Here, again, from the Castlewellsan (Foffany Gauge) during the years 1875-76-77 there were twenty-four occasions on which the fall of two consecutive months range from 10.13 up to 35.20 inches in, say, sixty days, and the average of the twenty-four cases given amounts to 16.76.

Mr. Manning records in his report of June, 1877, that 7 inches of rain falling in two consecutive months, will flood the land.

Such a rainfall would so saturate the ground, especially in the low-lying portions of the drainage area, as to render floods due to the entire discharge of any rain which might fall upon it more than probable.

This leads us to an inquiry as to the greatest diurnal rainfall on record.

Table No. 4 shows us that at Armagh (No. 2) falls up to 1.74 may occur in one day; at Banbridge (No. 1) to as much as 1.59 and 2.26; at Garvagh (No. 3) up to 1.55; Antrim (No. 13) 3.00; Ballymoney (No. 30) 1.64; Stewartstown (No. 29) up to 2.25 and 4.16; Rathfriland (No. 16) 2.20; Ballymena (No. 12) 2.35; Broughshane (No. 25) 1.7; Corbett Reservoir (No. 19) 1.40; Lurgan (No. 20) up to 2.00; and Dungannon (No. 21) up to 3.57.

We, therefore, have to deal with a drainage area which may discharge 8 inches in a month, during which period a daily fall of between 2 and 4 inches may occur, the average of the above fourteen cases in Table 4 giving a daily fall of 2.24.

It will be observed that in these notes in Table 4 I have taken no notice of the possible heavy falls which may occur in the head waters of the Bann, the Main or other confluent of the lake.

(4).—FLOW FROM THE DRAINAGE AREA ABOVE TOOME.

In dealing with this subject it is necessary to examine somewhat in detail past records of flood discharge, in which, from the records kept, we have some important evidence to guide us.

Mr. MacMahon, in his report of 1845, pages 60-63, in dealing with the flood discharge of the Blackwater, says that it amounts to 500,000 cube feet per minute, equal to 720,000,000 cube feet in twenty-four hours from a basin of 618 square miles. I do not make the drainage area of the Blackwater quite so large as Mr. MacMahon, as I should place the figure at 500 square miles.

If this latter be correct the discharge, as recorded by Mr. MacMahon, would equal 0.553 inches flowing from the ground in twenty-four hours.

(5).—FLOOD OF NOVEMBER, 1866.

From the records kept at Toome I find that between the 17th and 18th November, 1866, the lake rose from 46.64 to 47.73, a rise of 1.09 feet in twenty-four hours. From the Table No. 4 of heavy diurnal falls, 1.03 inches of rain is recorded as having fallen at Antrim (No. 13) on November 15th, 1866.

From a comparison of the gauges kept at Toome and Portna, I estimate that about 350,000 cubic feet per minute were flowing from the lake at Toome during the twenty-four hours of the 17th and 18th November, 1866. This would amount to 504,000,000 cubic feet in twenty-four hours, and a rise of 13 inches on the surface of the lake during the same period would equal 4,561 million cubic feet, giving a total of 5,065 million cubic feet, which represents 1.15 inches of rain flowing from the 1,900 square miles above Toome, or a uniform discharge per minute of 3,517,000 cubic feet in twenty-four hours.

If this total quantity of 5,065 million cubic feet were discharged equally over thirty days it would represent a flow at the rate of 168,800,000 cubic feet per diem, or 117,000 cubic feet per minute as due to the rise of the lake and the discharge of the weir in twenty-four hours only.

It is unfortunate that I can obtain no further records of the rainfall of this period beyond that of Antrim above noticed.

(6).—THE GREAT FLOOD OF FEBRUARY, 1877.

It is somewhat difficult to estimate what in this case was the discharge at Toome, but from a comparison of the discharge at Portna, probably the volume of the flood at that place was at the rate of 1,027,000 cubic feet per minute; but from this quantity has to be deducted the flow which joins the Bann between Toome and Portna. This latter, for reasons which will subsequently be given, I estimate as approximately 108,000 cubic feet per minute, deducting which from the flow at Portna we obtain a probable discharge at Toome of about 919,000 cubic feet per minute; and this, it should be remembered, is the heaviest flood that has occurred during the existence of the Lower Bann Navigation.

(6A).—RAINSTORM OF 25TH AND 26TH AUGUST, 1905.

The spring and summer of 1905 had not been remarkable for any particularly heavy rainfall, and the level of the lake stood at 45' 5" on the 25th and 26th August; in other words, was about 7 inches below Mr. MacMahon's summer level.

The month of August, however, was a month of considerable rainfall. Table No. 5 gives the rainfall, showing the daily fall at twelve stations within the Bann drainage area. It averaged during the month 6·16 inches, varying from 4·03 inches at Ballymoney, up to 7·44 inches at Stewartstown. Up to the 13th of the month the average daily fall taken at the lowest possible amounted to 0·161, varying from about 0·01 up to 0·6 on the 3rd.

The latter end of the month was, however, characterised by the heavy rainfall of the 25th and 26th; that of the 25th averaged 1·79, varying from 0·20 at Broughshane up to 2·95 inches at Lough Island Reavy.

The rainfall of the 26th averaged 0·554, varying from 0·21 at Ballymena up to 1·17 at Broughshane. We may, however, consider the rainfalls of the 25th and 26th as one continuous storm, as there was practically no interval between the rainfall of these two days; there was also a slight rainfall, amounting to 0·14 of an inch, on the 27th.

The result of this rainfall was that the lake suddenly rose from 45 feet 6 inches on the 27th, to 46 feet 2 inches on the 28th—a rise of 8 inches in twenty-four hours. There, therefore, flowed into the lake 2,800 million cubic feet.

From a comparison of the records at Toome and Portna it would appear that there was an average discharge during this period of 200,000,000 cubic feet per diem, making a total discharge of the drainage area 3,000 million cubic feet; but of this quantity 842,000,000 is due to the average fall of rain, 2·4 inches, on the surface of the lake itself; consequently there must have flowed into the lake from the surrounding drainage area 2,158,000,000 cubic feet, which represents a flow from the ground of approximately 0·5 of an inch in twenty-four hours, which, it will be noticed, very nearly agrees with Mr. MacMahon's figures of the flow from the Blackwater drainage area above noticed.

By the 31st of the month the lake had risen to 46 feet 6 inches, and the water below the weir to 45 feet 2 inches, showing that the weir had become submerged, and had no longer a free fall over it.

As above noticed, this rainfall caused considerable flooding on the Upper Bann at Portadown and the lower reaches of the Blackwater, but passed down the Lower Bann without doing any damage, merely raising the water level to bank full.

(7).—PROBABLE DISCHARGE TO BE PROVIDED FOR AT TOOME.

The first point that has to be taken into account in considering this question is the lowest level to which it will be prudent to reduce the level of the lake.

From the above observations it will be noticed that I received a unanimous expression of opinion that, on account of the navigation on the lake, this level should not fall below 46 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum.

As will be seen when discussing the rainfall and floods of the 25th and 26th August, 1905, I noticed that the surface of the lake, probably owing to the large leakage which is going on through the present weir, had fallen to 45 feet 5 inches, or 7 inches below Mr. MacMahon's summer level, and I received complaints on this subject from several persons.

The conclusion at which I have arrived, therefore, is that the lake should be kept at or about a minimum summer level of 46 feet. I should note in considering this and other matters connected with the lake level, that minute accuracy must not be expected, for the effect of the wind on the long reach of the lake often causes a disturbance of the mean level to the extent of two or three inches.

The next subject which requires attention is the storage capacity of the lake itself when raised above its summer level. Assuming the lake to be

at its summer level, a rise of 6 inches would represent a storage of 2,105 million cubic feet, representing an amount of rain flowing from the drainage area of 1,900 square miles to the extent of 0·48 inches.

Supposing the lake to be raised 1 foot above its summer level, 47 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum, its storage capacity would be 4,210 million cubic feet, equalling a flow of rainfall from the ground of 0·96 inches.

Supposing it to be raised to 1 foot 6 inches, or to 47·5 feet above datum, its storage capacity would be 6,315 million cubic feet, equal to 1·43 inches flowing from the entire area.

Supposing it to be raised 2 feet, or 48 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum, its storage capacity would be 8,420 million cubic feet, equal to 1·92 inches of rain flowing from the ground.

Of course, in the above calculations I am for the moment assuming that there is no discharge from the lake, but that I am regarding it simply as a modifying agent in its capacity of storing flood.

When considering the question of rainfall it will have been noticed that I considered that a depth of 8 inches in a month might not unreasonably be expected, and in two consecutive months a possible rainfall equal to or exceeding 10 inches.

Confining ourselves for the moment to the shorter period of one month, and assuming it to be the second of two wet months, it might occur that the whole rainfall of 8 inches in the month was discharged into the lake from the 1,900 square miles above Toome.

This would amount to 35,313 million cubic feet, averaging over thirty days 1,177 million cubic feet per day, or at the rate of, say, 817,422 million cubic feet per minute. A weir with a free overflow of 2 feet 2 inches in depth, and of the same length as the present weir at Toome, namely, 1,200 feet, would discharge this quantity.

I notice that Mr. William O'Neill, engineer of the drainage district of Lough Neagh, in his report of the 27th February, 1873, states:—"The flood passing over Portna Weir this winter measured 716,450 cubic feet per minute over a period of six consecutive weeks," and I think it is generally admitted that the floods of the winter of 1872-73 were not so great as those which occurred in the winter of 1876-77.

I think, therefore, from the above figures, that an exceptionally heavy flood may amount to about 800,000 cubic feet per minute over a whole month.

A second point of view, however, arises from the fact that although we may have a rainfall of 8 inches in the month the whole of it may not necessarily be discharged from the ground draining into the lake during that period.

On this assumption the following figures are worthy of consideration. It is clear that a rainfall of 8 inches falling on the area of the lake would raise it 8 inches, and amount to 2,806·4 million cubic feet; if from the 8 inches falling on the area draining into the lake we deduct one-quarter, or two inches, as held back by absorption to pass off slowly at a later period, we should have a discharge of 24,379·7 million cubic feet.

These two quantities make a total of 27,186·1 million cubic feet, which, if there were no exit from the lake, would raise its level about 6 feet 6 inches; but if equally discharged day by day it would represent 906·2 million cubic feet, or at the rate of 629,308 cubic feet per minute. A weir with a free overflow of 1 foot 10 inches in depth and of the same length as the present weir at Toome, namely, 1,200 feet, would discharge this quantity.

I, therefore, conclude that the flood discharge at Toome will vary from 600,000 up to 800,000 cubic feet per minute.

If there existed at Toome a perfectly water-tight weir 1,200 feet in length, with a perfectly free overflow for its discharge, and a crest level of 45 feet 8 inches above Mr. MacMahon's datum, I should anticipate the

normal discharge in winter, without taking into account exceptional floods, to be as follows :—

Discharge per min. when running 1 ft. 4 in. deep, ...	393,888 cubic ft.
Equalling a discharge per day of ...	547,198,720 "
In 30 days, ...	17,015,961,600 "
To which latter must be added for storage in the lake 1 ft. deep, ...	4,810,000,000 "
A total disposed of during the month of ...	21,825,961,600 "

equalling an actual discharge from the drainage area of 1,900 square miles above Toome of slightly under 5 inches of rain.

Under such circumstances as these the level of the lake would never be raised above 47 feet on Mr. MacMahon's datum, which he considered to be the flood height in the lake; but, as above shown, even in the extreme case of 8 inches of rainfall, or, say, 800,000 cubic feet per minute, being discharged from the drainage area in one month, the level of the lake need not be expected to rise more than to 47·83 above Mr. MacMahon's datum. And in the case of a flood flowing at the rate of 600,000 cubic feet per minute the level of the lake would be raised to 47·5 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum.

(8).—SUMMER DISCHARGE AT TOOME.

This is a somewhat difficult matter to ascertain with any certainty owing to the leaky and decayed condition of the weir. During my stay at Toome I made several attempts to estimate its amount, but for the above reason without success.

I have seen several estimates of the summer discharge, varying from 30,000 up to 66,000 cubic feet per minute. I should, as far as my judgment goes after reviewing all the facts of the case, consider that 50,000 cubic feet per minute a fair average in summer.

A weir 1,200 feet in length with a free overflow would discharge this quantity when running about 4 inches in depth, so that in fixing the level of the crest of the weir it might be placed 0·53 feet below summer level, or, say, at 45·66 above Mr. MacMahon's datum. If the weir level were placed at this altitude it would give a margin of discharging power as noted above.

(9).—FLOOD DISCHARGE BELOW TOOME.

We have now to consider what would be the probable flood discharge from the 134 square miles which drain into the Lower Bann between Toome and Portna, as well as from the 182 square miles which drain into the same river between Portna and the Cutts.

From the observations of Mr. MacMahon on the Blackwater, and my own deductions from the figures of the floods of the 25th and 26th August, 1905, it will be noticed that by calculation the flow from the ground was approximately at the rate of 0·5 of an inch per twenty-four hours. On this assumption we may expect a flood discharge into the Lower Bann between Toome and Portna at the rate of about 108,000 cubic feet per minute, and between Portna and the Cutts of about 147,000 cubic feet per minute.

Consequently, if an extreme flood of 800,000 cubic feet per minute were passing out of the lake at Toome the discharge at Portna might rise to 908,000 cubic feet per minute, and at the Cutts to 1,055,000 cubic feet per minute. These figures, of course, are applicable to probably the heaviest floods.

In ordinary winter discharge, when 304,000 cubic feet per minute were passing over the weir at Toome, about 502,000 cubic feet per minute would be passing at Portna, and 649,000 cubic feet per minute at the Cutts.

(10).—CAUSES OF THE FLOODING ON THE SHORES OF LOUGH NEAGH.

There can be no doubt that the primary cause of this flooding, which raises the lake level to 48, 49 and 50 feet above datum, and in the great flood of 1877 to 52 feet above datum, is the want of a free discharge over the nominal 1,200 feet weir at Toome.

This has been ascribed as due to the more rapid discharge of rainfall consequent upon improved drainage; and although I am not at all prepared to deny this, yet there are other causes which, in my opinion, contribute to produce this effect and which it is possible to cope with.

The first fact that strikes the observer who has studied the figures of flood discharge on the Lower Bann is, that the weir at Portna, only 600 feet in length, has been able to pass all the floods with a depth running over it of 2 to 3 feet, and even in the great flood of 1877 the depth of water passing over it did not reach 4 feet.

The lower portion of the weir at Toome is, as above noticed, surcharged with very slight rainfall, and Mr. Manning, in his report of the 8th June, 1877, page 3, states that when discharging any quantity in excess of 160,000 cubic feet per minute the weir becomes surcharged.

The weir, although nominally 1,200 feet in length, can never act effectively until the lake has risen about 18 inches above the lower portion of the sill, for it will be remembered that the centre portion, 300 feet in length, has its crest at 45 feet above datum; on either side of this it rises to 46 feet above datum in a length of 150 feet, and the two flanks of 300 feet each rise another 6 inches, or to 46'50 above datum.

The level of the water in the long reach of fifteen miles from Portna to Toome is governed by the height of the weir at the former place, which is 41 feet above datum. Consequently, the still water below the weir at Toome would also stand at the same level if no water was flowing from the lake, and between it and the crest of the lower portion of Toome weir there is only a difference of 4 feet.

The result is, that to generate a velocity in this long length of fifteen miles the necessary head to overcome friction can only be obtained by the heaping up of the water immediately below Toome Weir. This, as noted by Mr. Manning, amounts to 4 feet when 160,000 cubic feet per minute are passing over it, and I observe this to be the case in the floods of August last; but in heavy floods it amounts to 5 and 6 feet, and in the great flood of January, 1877, it rose to 7 feet 5 inches.

At the end of the dry summer in August last, I found the head necessary to overcome friction in the fifteen miles between Toome and Portna to be about 10 inches, so that the difference in level between this water below the weir at Toome and the lowest part of the crest was only 3 feet 2 inches.

I notice that in previous reports it has been suggested that to remedy this state of things sluices should be introduced into the weir at Toome; but I am unable fully to understand what useful effect they would produce, seeing that the river at that point and immediately below it is completely gorged in times of flood.

The question arises—How can this head of 5, 6, or 7 feet which is found necessary to overcome friction in the Lower Bann on the fifteen miles between Toome and Portna be most economically obtained?

The clearing away of certain shoals which exist near Brocart Lodge, at Port Glennone, and in the rocks immediately above the weir at Portna, would not of themselves effect that object, as the still water at a level of 41 feet above datum would continue to be maintained by the weir at the latter place.

As pointed out by Mr. MacMahon on page 11 of his report of 1845, speaking of the ridge of rocks which crosses the river at Portna, he says, "the obstruction at Portna is that which impounds the surplus water of the great catchment area of 1,865 square miles of 1,190,000 acres," and from my observations the rocks and the weir at Portna are the governing factors when considering the flooding on the shores of Lough Neagh.

The circumstances of the case no doubt have been somewhat modified by the works of the Lower Bann navigation, but in all essential particulars Portna is still the place to which we must look for relief if a free discharge of the waters of Lough Neagh at Toome is to be effected.

In speaking above of the 1,200 feet weir not being effective until the level of the lake had risen 18 inches above the lower central portion, I should, in addition, remark that even the flow of water from the lake to the weir on its up-stream side is much obstructed, partly owing to accumulations of sand, on which bushes are growing, and to certain excavations

on both sides of the channel which are required, and which, apparently have never been carried out in the original works of the Lower Bann Navigation. And this is the more surprising, as I noticed that Mr. MacMahon, in his report of 1845, when speaking of the rise of the lake level as it existed before his proposed works were carried out, says, "it may fairly be attributed to the obstructions raised by the bar at Toome and by the inadequacy of the channel of the Lower Bann"; but it should be remembered that Mr. MacMahon died before the works were completed.

(11).—MAINTENANCE OF THE LOWER BANN NAVIGATION

In considering this question of the obstruction caused by the weir and rocks at Portna, the subject of the maintenance of the Lower Bann Navigation in its present or some modified state at once claims attention.

At various times reports and estimates by different engineers have been prepared, with the object of dealing with the whole question of the drainage of the Bann and the prevention of floods, but as far as I have been able to notice they have all been based on the supposition that the Lower Bann Navigation will be maintained in some modified form.

I notice that in the report of Lord Monck's Commission, dated 8th February, 1882, it is stated that in 1880 the cost of maintenance on the average of the preceding five years was £1,154 15s. 8d., as compared with annual receipts during the same time averaging £93 14s. 1d.

The Commission state that the conclusive testimony was to the effect that no considerable increase of traffic was to be expected, "and complaints are made that they (the works) are injurious, not beneficial to those who are taxed for their support"; and the Commission finally recommend that the Board of Navigation Trustees should be dissolved and the works handed over to the Drainage Trustees to be dealt with solely in the interests of drainage.

In the second portion of the first report of Sir James Allport's Commission, dated April 9th, 1887, it is stated that the receipts of the Lower Bann Navigation are less than £70 per annum, while the expenditure averaged about £1,100 per annum, and they sum up by saying, "we agree with Lord Monck's Commission that the navigation should be abandoned," but advised that this course should not be authorised without another appeal to the counties of Antrim and Derry.

From the Board of Trade returns, Railway and Canal Traffic for the year 1898, I notice that the total receipts from tolls on the Lower Bann Navigation only amounted to £42, and I notice that in the evidence of the engineers who have proposed certain modifications of the navigation, they did not anticipate that the works then suggested by them would lead to any increase of traffic.

During my residence of over seven weeks at Brecart Lodge, near Toome, on the banks of the Bann Navigation, I never saw any traffic of any kind with the exception of a pleasure steamer on two occasions, passing up or down the Navigation.

There was a very small amount of traffic, consisting of small fishing boats, which passed through the lock at Toome to the railway station at Toome Bridge; but this is of a very insignificant character. There is also a small amount of brick traffic carried on in open boats about Portglennone and near Agivey Bridge; but I doubt if it contributes any toll to the navigation.

From my own observations in repeatedly passing up and down the Navigation I found considerable difficulty in passing through Lough Beg with a launch drawing only 3' 6" of water, owing to the mass of weeds which obstruct the navigable channel.

On reference to Professor T. Oldham's Report of August, 1845, the following expression of opinion will be found:—

"The smaller lake of Lough Beg is unquestionably filling up, and that not very slowly; and should the waters be kept at their former level it is probable that the greater portion of its surface will be filled up to that height, and the waters subsequently confine themselves to a defined channel through it."

This prediction is being gradually fulfilled, and I anticipate that if the works recommended in this report be carried out it will result in the formation of that definite channel through Lough Beg alluded to by Dr. Oldham.

The marshes which would be formed on either side of such a channel will no doubt be liable to floods, which in extreme cases will tend to modify the intensity of flood discharge down the Lower Bann.

The general result of a careful study of all the circumstances of the case has forced me reluctantly to the conclusion that if the question of reducing the winter level of Lough Neagh is to be accomplished at any reasonable expenditure, it will become necessary to entirely abandon the navigation, and I think that this can be accomplished without materially interfering with the eel fisheries at Toome, Portna, and Movinager, while at the same time improving the river as regards the salmon fisheries by removing those obstructions which to a certain extent prevent the fish passing up the river.

I cannot discover that there ever existed any traffic on the Lower Bann Navigation which is at all commensurate with the cost of its construction.

Putting all other matters on one side, and regarding it as a canal for economical traffic, it violates the first principles of canal engineering, for the whole economy of inland navigation is the maintenance of still water ponds between the different locks along which navigation can be hauled at a low cost.

In the case of the Bann Navigation, however, we have a canalised river, down which passes, against any upward traffic during the winter months, floods at the rate of 400,000 to 800,000 cubic feet per minute. It is, therefore, not surprising to me that the navigation has not proved a commercial success.

No towing path was ever provided, as I presume it was intended that the navigation should be carried on by sailing, polling, or steam power, and I do not contemplate any increase of traffic should a swing bridge, as suggested, be constructed at Coleraine.

Nor do I consider that, looking at the country generally, it has any chance of improving in the future, seeing that the whole district is well served by railways; they may be said to entirely encircle Lough Neagh, and there are practically two lines of railway down the Lower Bann, bringing the whole district into railway communication with Larne, Belfast, Newry, Dublin, Coleraine, Londonderry, and Portrush.

(12).—WORKS REQUIRED BETWEEN TOOME AND PORTNA.

I have above described the general formation of the weir at Toome; it is constructed partly of stone and partly of timber. The latter is much decayed, and there is a great leakage through the whole structure, which will render its practical reconstruction necessary at no distant period, whether the navigation be retained or not.

I should, therefore, advise its repair and partial reconstruction as absolutely necessary at the present time.

In carrying out the work, the weir, throughout its whole extent of 1,200 feet, should, in my opinion, be formed with a crest of uniform height at a level of 45·66 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum.

As above pointed out, when 4 inches, or, say, 0·33 feet, is flowing over the weir throughout its whole length, it would discharge the average summer volume of 50,000 cubic feet per minute, and maintain the summer level of the lake, as proposed by Mr. MacMahon, at 46 feet above datum.

When 1·33 feet are flowing over it would, as above pointed out, discharge at the rate of, say, 394,000 cubic feet per minute, and bring the level of the lake up to what Mr. MacMahon considered should be its winter flood level. If 1·84 feet were flowing over it, it would discharge about 630,000 cubic feet per minute, and bring the level of the lake up to 47·50 feet, and when 2·16 feet were passing over it the lake would be raised to 47·82 feet, and be discharging at the rate of 800,000 cubic feet per minute, which, as I estimate above, would be the average quantity passing into the lake in a month of so large a rainfall as 8 inches without making any deductions for absorption or evaporation.

But, as proved by the discharge over the 600 feet weir at Portna, the existence of a weir at Toome 1,200 feet in length with a free fall over it, always ready to act throughout its whole length, will do much to prevent the lake ever rising to any great extent, and would make provision for those sudden rises of its level, such as the 13 inches in 1866, and the 8 inches in 1905, which took place within twenty-four hours.

To permit a free flow of water from the lake to the weir considerable excavation would have to be made on its up-stream side, the omission of which in the past has, I fear, contributed to some extent in causing the flooding complained of.

In carrying out the work I would suggest that to render the present weir watertight as much of the old timber as possible should be removed, and replaced by stone, and the whole structure thoroughly re-set in Portland cement; and that on the up-stream side a concrete wall should be built, the top of which would form the crest of the new weir, the existing structure being retained and made secure as an apron to prevent scouring below the weir.

Were it considered necessary to prevent the water in extreme floods rising so high as the above calculations suggest, the present lock at Toome could be formed into a basin for the small boat traffic on the lake, and a sluice placed in the position of the lower lock gate, to be opened on emergency when required.

To accommodate the small fish traffic which is carried on between the lake and Toome Bridge railway station, a line of tramway could be formed which would facilitate the transfer of fish from the boats to the railway station.

Such an arrangement of the weir as above indicated would preserve the present salmon pass and direct all except the most destructive floods through the eel weirs erected above and below the bridges which cross the Bann at Toome.

I do not know what authorities are charged with the supervision of the present eel fisheries, but I consider that some authority should be established in the interests of drainage and the preservation of the river channel to prevent the further encroachment on the river by these rather massive eel weirs, as I noticed tentative attempts being made to establish others on the Lower Bann between Brecart Lodge and Lough Beg.

At present the eel weirs are a great impediment to the free discharge of floods, and their increase should, if possible, be prevented.

At Portna the whole of the present weir should be removed and the rock excavated between its present site and the existing navigable channel, down to a level of about 32 feet above Mr. MacMahon's datum.

In this case, also, the alteration would not interfere with the flood water which passes to the present decayed eel weirs situated on the rapids below the Portna weir, as the present locks and navigable channel might be abandoned.

The removal of this weir would render the retention of the existing salmon passes unnecessary, as the fish would have a free run upwards into Lough Beg, Lough Neagh, and the tributary streams which feed them.

To render, however, the discharge of floods effective, the shoals at and about Port Glennone should be removed, as has already been suggested by other engineers who have formerly reported on this subject.

Works such as the above would, I believe, tend much to the improvement of the surrounding land between Toome and Portna by lowering the general level of the summer water in the river and in Lough Beg; but steps should be taken to prevent the neighbouring landowners encroaching on what are now the flooded lands, or, undoubtedly, future claims for further drainage will arise.

The sectional area of the river and the expanse now covered by Lough Beg in winter will tend largely to modify the flood discharge down the Lower Bann between Portna and the Cutts.

I should, however, notice that I observed between Lough Beg and Portna and a little above Port Glennone, that the neighbouring landowners have been permitted to cut down the natural banks of the river to summer water level, a practice which, if continued, cannot but lead to further flooding of the back lands and to increased complaints of areas being flooded which are now to a large extent protected except in periods of excessive flood.

(13).—THE LOWER BANN BETWEEN PORTNA AND THE CUTTS.

Any flooding which takes place between Portna and Movinagher is not, however, of a very serious nature, and I do not suggest that the weir at the latter place should be removed, as it is necessary to keep up the level of the water immediately below the Portna Rapids, so as to preserve the salmon breeding establishment at that place.

The eel fisheries at Movinagher would also not be interfered with, but remain in their present state.

Owing to the flooding in the neighbourhood of Carnroe, due, no doubt, to the surcharging of the weir at that place, I suggest that it should be entirely removed.

It is, however, on the nine miles between Carnroe and the Cutts that the principal complaints arise, as the want of free discharge in this portion of the river is due partly to the want of cross sectional area at certain points, as well as to the obstruction caused by the weir at the Cutts itself.

In my interview with the Agivey Bann Drainage Association I gathered that although, perhaps, some improvement had accrued due to the construction of the navigation works above Portna, yet in that length of the river between Carnroe and the Cutts the effect of the navigation works had been in the direction of increasing their former difficulties, which they ascribed rightly, in my opinion, to imperfect excavation in the river, and to the height at which the sills of the weirs at the Cutts had been placed.

But here, again, especially above Agivey Bridge, I observed a similar cutting down of the natural banks of the river to that which I noticed above between Lough Beg and Port Glennone, and which should be put a stop to at the earliest possible moment.

In this case, however, the principal obstruction is due to the weir at the Cutts. It was formed by Mr. MacMahon into two portions—the western weir, 350 feet long, has a crest the level of which is 10·40 above datum; the eastern weir, 173 feet long, has a crest 10 feet above datum. Between these weirs are situated four salmon cribs 20 feet wide, the sills of which are 8 feet above datum; also, the King's Gap of 30 feet wide, with its sill at the same level.

There appears to have been some encroachment at some time on the King's Gap by the establishment of a new cribb 12 feet in width, which reduces the King's Gap to an available 12 feet.

There is also available for the discharge of floods the lock passage, 20 feet wide, and the sluice provided for a mill which has never been erected, and which lies westwards of the lock and between the lock-keeper's house and the public road.

I should explain that all the salmon cribs and the King's Gap are open and free for the discharge of floods during the winter months. Had this not been the case the flooding complained of would have been much more serious than at the present time.

The passage of floods during the winter months can in no way interfere with the salmon fisheries as the fish do not come up the river until the spring.

I would suggest that the crests of both the east and west weirs should be lowered to a uniform level of 9 feet above datum, i.e., the west weir would be lowered 1·4 feet and the east weir 1·0 foot, the sills of the salmon cribs and the King's Gap being lowered to 7 feet above MacMahon's datum. This would leave the salmon gaps proportionally in the same position that they are at the present time, namely, with their sills 2 feet below the crest of the eastern weir; and I may note that when I visited the Cutts, in the early part of August, there was no water running over the west weir, the whole flow of the river passing either through the salmon cribs, the King's Gap, or over the eastern weir.

To provide for exceptionally high floods in winter I would suggest that the lock gates should be removed and a sluice substituted, as well as a sluice on the proposed mill site above spoken of, west of the locks.

These works would, I am sure, provide for all exceptional floods, and facilitate rather than otherwise the passage of salmon and eels up the river.

To permit of the full discharge of flood water between Carnroe and the Cutts the cross sectional area of the river would have to be increased at the following points :—

- (1.) Rock excavation in the river bed at the Cutts.
- (2.) Excavation from the Cutts to the top of the Logan Shoal.
- (3.) Excavation between the top of Logan Shoal and the railway bridge.
- (4.) Excavation at the entrance of the Agivey River.

The above are the same as those proposed by Messrs. Gamble and Dick in their reports.

(14).—FISHERIES ON THE RIVER.

It is as well to note a few facts with regard to the fisheries on the river. The habits of the salmon and the eel differ in the following respects: the salmon come up the river about March for the purpose of spawning in the rivers and lakes, and the reduction of the long ponds between Portna and Toome would increase the facilities for spawning on the Lower Bann.

These fish return to the sea during the winter months about January. The close time for salmon net fishing extends, I believe, from the 19th August to the 1st March, for angling from 30th September to 1st March, in the Bann.

On the other hand, the eels spawn in the sea and come up the river as eel fry in April and May, and arrive in Lough Neagh about May and June. The eel fry keep along the banks and do not frequent the middle of the stream.

After developing in Lough Neagh the full-grown eels return to the sea in September and October, generally in high flood, the close time for eels being from January 10th to June 1st.

The works which I have proposed above I do not believe will in any way interfere with the eel fisheries, as all the floods at Toome, Portna, and Movanager would pass through the eel weirs as at present, and I should remark that the principal eel weirs are situated at Toome, those at Portna and Movanager appearing to be in a more or less decayed condition.

It is almost unnecessary to say that the removal of the weirs at Portna and Carnroe would facilitate the passage of salmon up the river, while the alterations in the weir at the Cutts would leave the position of affairs practically in its present state.

(15).—PROBABLE COST.

In contemplating the probable cost of carrying out the above suggestions, much will depend on the mode adopted for the execution of the works, and the probable time of their commencement and completion.

There are two modes in which the works could be carried out—one by the direct employment of labour, which, in a scattered district extending over thirty-two miles would be difficult of administration and inspection, and, I fear, would lead to needless expense and extend the work over an indefinite period; the other, and more preferable mode, would be to employ a good contractor experienced in similar work, which should be carried out expeditiously, and it is upon this latter assumption that I have based my figures.

As I have assumed that the navigation will be abandoned, the most economical mode of procedure, and that which will least and for the shortest time interfere with the fisheries, will be by opening or removing all the lock gates below Toome so as to lower the water level in the Lower Bann to the greatest possible extent during the summer half of one year.

This more particularly applies to the lower part of the Lower Bann between Movannagher and the Cutts. Consequently, I think that the first work undertaken should be the construction of the two sluices in the lock and at the old mill site at the Cutts.

The lowering of the weir and the sills of the salmon cribs and King's Pass, together with the rock and other excavation between the Cutts and Carnoe, could then be most economically carried out.

Coincident with this work the weirs at Carnoe and Portna could be removed, so lowering the water between Portna and Toome and permitting the removal of the Port Glennone shoal at the least possible cost. The reconstruction of the weir, &c., at Toome, would also be facilitated.

I notice that in looking through the estimate prepared by Mr. Gamble and Mr. Dick that they have fixed on 3s. to 3s. 6d. per cubic yard as the price of rock excavation. This, I feel sure, is too low an estimate in either case, and I have assumed that the cost will be 5s. per cubic yard.

In the same way, I notice that the excavation of softer material—earth, gravel, sand, &c.—has been fixed by them at from 1s. to 1s. 6d. per cubic yard. For this class of material I have assumed that it will average about 2s. per cubic yard. The above prices for excavation are intended to include any compensation for spoil banks, &c.

I need not say, therefore, that in comparing my total estimate with those of former engineers, not only must the difference of the works proposed be taken into account, as they contemplated the retention of the navigation whereas I have assumed its abandonment, but these differences in prices should also be remembered.

As the work may not be immediately carried out, the prices I have allowed and the contingencies of 10 per cent. for which I have provided should, I think, balance the fluctuations in the cost of materials and labour for the next few years.

Appended to this report will be found the cost of the various works above mentioned set out under their various heads, amounting to a total of £76,000.

The actual quantities of excavation have been derived for the most part from previous reports and from the very voluminous cross sections of the river placed before me by the Board of Public Works.

Before, however, any contracts are let it will be necessary that careful working drawings, plans and sections, with a detailed specification, should be prepared, so that contractors tendering may have exact and full information of the work to be undertaken before them, so as to avoid, as far as possible, the introduction into their tenders of speculative prices.

CONCLUSION.

In conclusion I have to say that, having devoted some months to the careful consideration of this question, I venture to hope that it will be found that I have arrived at a result which will prove a solution of the difficulties placed before me, and acceptable as far as that is possible to the various important interests concerned.

I have the honour to be,

Your Excellency's most obedient,
humble Servant,

ALEX. R. BINNIE,
Pres. Inst. C.E.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

ESTIMATE

TO ACCOMPANY

SIR ALEX. R. BINNIE'S REPORT.

(1.) <i>The Cutts Weir,</i>	
Lowering weirs and salmon gages, and inserting sluices at canal lock and mill culvert,	£ 2,000
(2.) Excavation at and above the Cutts, as recommended by Messrs. Gamble and Dick, 10,000 cubic yards, at 2s.,	2,500
(3.) Excavation in river from the Cutts to the upper end of Logan's Shoal, as recommended by Messrs. Gamble and Dick, 70,000 cubic yards, at 2s.,	7,000
(4.) Excavation in river from the upper end of the Logan's Shoal to Derry Central Railway Bridge, as recommended by Messrs. Gamble and Dick, 110,000 cubic yards, at 2s.,	11,000
(5.) Excavation at the mouth of the Agivey River, as recommended by Messrs. Gamble and Dick, 6,600 cubic yards, at 2s.,	660
(6.) Removal of the Carnroe Weir,	1,000
(7.) Removal of the Portna Weir,	700
(8.) Rock excavation at Portna, between the weir and the navigable channel, 14,000 cubic yards, at 5s.,	3,500
(9.) Excavation in river, Portlennane Shoal, cross-sections 1-70, as recommended by Mr. Gamble, 156,000 cubic yards, at 2s.,	15,600
(10.) Reconstruction of weir at Toome, including sluice in lock,	10,000
(11.) Tramway from Toome Lock to Toome Railway Station,	1,000
(12.) Excavation in Lough Neagh (approach to Toome Weir), 50,000 cubic yards, at 2s.,	5,000
(13.) Compensation to Fisheries during construction,	5,000
	<hr/>
	64,260
Add for contingencies,	6,040
	<hr/>
	70,300
Law, Engineering, &c.,	5,000
	<hr/>
Total,	£75,300
	<hr/>
	0

BANN AND LOUGH

Determination of the probable true Average Rainfall at 43 Stations based
which extend from 1865 to 1904.

Year	No. 1. Ballydoon, Malvern.			No. 2. Armagh Obs.			No. 3. Garvaghy.			No. 4. Droghda, (Queen's College).			Mean of Columns 1 to 4.		
	Heights, Above Sea, 200' Above Ground, 5'			Heights, Above Sea, 300' Above Ground, 1'			Heights, Above Sea, 165' Above Ground, 1'			Heights, Above Sea, 60' Above Ground, 1' 6"					
	Inches.	Feet.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.		Ratio.	Days.
1865	28.31	82	545	27.96	113	164	27.56	96	214	22.82	96	162	—	103	177
1866	28.83	86	508	24.10	107	331	45.73	308	232	25.95	105	264	—	302	314
1867	21.13	160	289	25.75	118	185	43.86	302	192	22.65	97	173	—	104	195
1868	21.13	58	181	22.53	82	222	24.95	95	102	28.35	80	179	—	25	196
1869	29.85	96	163	29.44	85	158	46.00	182	209	31.53	94	173	—	85	194
1870	27.86	30	172	22.23	79	125	35.07	55	173	33.87	87	166	—	25	157
1871	29.77	98	197	23.48	84	176	38.32	87	130	31.91	86	170	—	14	150
1872	46.89	140	249	29.64	125	280	35.06	149	226	44.46	182	196	—	126	224
1873	27.70	83	224	26.67	84	183	25.96	91	196	31.13	99	190	—	89	197
1874	28.95	81	180	23.73	80	201	40.26	102	212	34.78	164	176	—	97	187
1875	21.22	180	280	24.32	163	199	36.30	99	137	31.98	86	149	—	99	155
1876	26.94	124	202	26.92	84	137	41.87	104	175	29.39	114	176	—	108	186
1877	29.26	127	242	27.94	119	247	39.34	123	209	42.20	126	210	—	124	223
1878	26.38	58	181	25.59	98	173	40.18	101	201	29.14	87	171	—	91	187
1879	22.22	104	223	23.27	101	202	35.51	98	171	29.30	100	183	—	96	187
1880	27.27	55	189	22.83	98	152	24.72	52	168	25.76	56	181	—	91	187
1881	25.49	111	212	21.40	99	181	40.61	109	179	38.47	113	189	—	107	188
1882	27.45	119	208	27.42	129	247	45.77	114	203	29.22	117	189	—	118	201
1883	23.47	127	205	24.84	120	237	41.86	196	218	31.96	121	145	—	206	202
1884	26.16	97	207	24.85	129	238	44.33	113	204	28.55	89	189	—	102	217
1885	20.65	85	199	23.62	81	211	34.61	85	205	29.37	90	179	—	88	196
1886	24.13	123	241	23.92	113	245	46.25	123	226	28.33	120	186	—	110	204
1887	23.08	73	175	22.02	74	164	50.58	77	173	33.45	70	123	—	72	167
1888	20.22	96	227	29.64	63	184	38.34	86	217	22.59	85	179	—	95	199
1889	24.05	105	206	26.64	86	238	29.81	89	206	31.99	85	165	—	99	200
1890	29.95	95	235	26.68	85	229	26.55	85	214	29.55	97	202	—	95	213
1891	31.17	99	199	25.75	90	225	36.53	84	197	31.54	85	178	—	84	204
1892	21.26	98	209	22.44	102	217	38.71	85	213	31.31	93	177	—	80	204
1893	24.12	77	185	24.58	75	184	34.79	83	186	22.92	77	178	—	80	175
1894	25.85	95	225	35.06	164	228	38.99	89	186	31.63	94	204	—	87	203
1895	28.85	96	208	29.34	84	220	36.04	92	181	30.01	85	158	—	85	197
1896	28.29	97	206	31.21	90	224	39.38	103	180	33.73	85	177	—	85	194
1897	22.02	124	213	25.87	116	254	39.36	103	201	22.71	164	196	—	104	207
1898	30.96	89	208	31.70	200	239	35.99	87	182	30.26	90	204	—	85	202
1899	32.57	105	238	22.90	122	207	41.48	166	185	34.91	104	202	—	104	195
1900	34.95	108	234	26.48	115	225	43.36	110	181	40.56	151	200	—	114	205
1901	31.94	109	220	32.11	121	208	35.87	97	213	33.16	96	196	—	94	181
1902	31.22	85	187	31.79	120	213	38.14	95	202	33.25	96	181	—	86	180
1903	28.90	128	233	26.28	120	221	46.22	110	228	42.34	126	217	—	120	220
1904	29.31	53	—	30.22	87	—	38.77	89	—	31.54	85	—	—	96	—
Total ..	1226.94	—	—	2251.26	—	—	1279.68	—	—	1343.45	—	—	—	—	—
Arithmetic Average ..	28.27	—	—	51.75	—	—	29.94	—	—	32.34	—	—	—	—	—
Probable true Average ..	28.27	—	—	51.75	—	—	29.94	—	—	32.34	—	—	—	—	—

No. 1.

NEAGH DRAINAGE.

on the recorded observations at Banbridge, Armagh, Garvagh, and Belfast, or over a period of 40 years.

No. 1. Warrington				No. 2. Seaford				No. 3. Belfast				No. 4. Tinsbury, (Dorset)				No. 5. Barnham				Year.
Height, Above sea, 100' Above Ground, 4'				Height, Above sea, 100' Above Ground, 5'				Height, Above sea, 10' Above Ground, 1'				Height, Above sea, 10' Above Ground, 1'				Height, Above sea, 20' Above Ground, 1'				
Inches	Revs.	Days		Inches	Revs.	Days		Inches	Revs.	Days		Inches	Revs.	Days		Inches	Revs.	Days		
20 42	100	185	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1885
21 46	102	221	—	—	—	—	—	50 50	102	224	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1886
22 53	104	183	—	—	—	—	—	37 78	104	185	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1887
23 54	93	200	40 18	83	207	33 94	83	227	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1888
24 43	85	192	32 28	85	208	58 72	85	225	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1889
25 34	85	173	30 29	85	172	50 88	55	168	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1890
26 26	94	149	54 27	94	153	54 21	94	184	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1891
27 74	110	235	37 37	110	234	47 36	110	230	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1892
28 58	84	185	39 70	84	183	30 58	84	214	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1893
29 45	87	164	31 20	87	165	30 43	87	165	30 57	87	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1894
30 33	83	207	40 43	83	196	32 54	83	206	52 32	83	213	54 30	83	206	—	—	—	—	—	1895
31 44	189	221	56 28	189	208	58 24	189	218	55 32	189	222	59 30	189	215	—	—	—	—	—	1896
32 37	184	205	52 35	184	214	47 54	184	202	45 30	184	205	46 54	184	248	—	—	—	—	—	1897
33 47	93	259	35 59	93	190	43 80	93	222	42 37	93	247	44 54	93	218	—	—	—	—	—	1898
34 20	99	222	43 47	99	204	33 35	99	223	32 34	99	220	29 34	99	185	—	—	—	—	—	1899
35 53	90	180	34 31	90	183	33 30	90	180	30 55	90	219	52 78	90	158	—	—	—	—	—	1900
36 38	107	226	44 74	107	195	36 42	107	223	34 37	107	226	37 34	107	209	—	—	—	—	—	1901
37 48	125	225	42 33	125	227	45 25	125	248	44 54	125	228	48 30	125	220	—	—	—	—	—	1902
38 19	104	209	41 30	104	196	45 30	104	232	43 35	104	245	46 06	104	226	—	—	—	—	—	1903
39 34	105	201	38 54	105	213	43 13	105	219	39 33	105	241	45 38	105	264	—	—	—	—	—	1904
40 77	85	218	33 32	85	208	33 30	85	200	29 34	85	228	31 35	85	230	—	—	—	—	—	1905
41 35	110	218	46 42	110	222	48 54	110	225	46 37	110	225	50 35	110	242	—	—	—	—	—	1906
42 30	73	167	54 36	73	155	54 30	73	155	50 79	73	160	50 31	73	218	—	—	—	—	—	1907
43 51	98	182	39 75	98	186	39 30	98	185	36 46	98	184	37 34	98	225	—	—	—	—	—	1908
44 50	98	223	30 34	98	229	30 35	98	237	27 36	98	225	30 30	98	225	—	—	—	—	—	1909
45 37	85	123	23 35	85	211	27 36	85	203	26 44	85	224	29 22	85	201	—	—	—	—	—	1910
46 53	84	222	20 57	84	122	20 38	84	—	54 30	84	224	23 33	84	227	—	—	—	—	—	1911
47 59	95	225	36 46	95	190	40 79	95	204	38 31	95	228	38 74	95	225	—	—	—	—	—	1912
48 35	95	174	27 35	95	170	26 59	95	204	24 31	95	245	25 33	95	222	—	—	—	—	—	1913
49 10	97	230	60 55	97	220	58 27	97	228	56 55	97	243	54 58	97	226	—	—	—	—	—	1914
50 40	96	220	34 51	96	178	37 55	96	248	36 50	96	228	34 53	96	225	—	—	—	—	—	1915
51 24	95	194	34 53	95	230	—	—	—	34 55	95	247	35 19	95	221	—	—	—	—	—	1916
52 36	230	205	39 35	185	201	—	—	—	37 35	190	243	37 34	135	237	—	—	—	—	—	1917
53 17	98	184	33 59	98	208	—	—	—	43 35	98	241	34 54	98	225	—	—	—	—	—	1918
54 52	204	185	43 35	184	155	—	—	—	37 48	104	229	40 77	204	206	—	—	—	—	—	1919
55 56	114	160	44 37	114	205	—	—	—	42 38	114	241	42 29	114	225	—	—	—	—	—	1920
—	—	—	50 36	95	157	—	—	—	37 48	99	250	36 12	99	227	—	—	—	—	—	1921
—	—	—	42 46	95	223	—	—	—	38 54	95	252	32 57	95	237	—	—	—	—	—	1922
—	—	—	40 59	120	244	—	—	—	43 35	120	253	44 55	120	243	—	—	—	—	—	1923
—	—	—	30 57	88	—	—	—	—	20 73	96	—	52 35	96	—	—	—	—	—	—	1924
2263 44	2552	7174	1425 89	3699	—	1543 31	—	4285	2165 35	1890	—	2185 36	5690	—	Total					
25 45	99 50	189	35 54	99 70	—	35 33	—	255	37 54	99 50	—	37 45	190 05	—	Artificial Average					
30 53	—	—	30 36	—	—	30 37	—	—	37 48	—	—	37 48	—	—	Probable true Average					

BANN AND LOUGH

Determination of the probable true Average Rainfall at 43 Stations based
which extend from 1865 to 1904,

Year.	No. 18. Lambhays. (Kilobridge I.) Height, Above Sea, 380' Above Ground, 1'			No. 11. Stewartstown. (The Square). Height, Above Sea, 350' Above Ground, 1' 4"			No. 12. Ballyvaughan. (Hillyville). Height, Above Sea, 150' Above Ground, 1'			No. 13. Ardara. (The Manor). Height, Above Sea, 150' Above Ground, 1'			No. 14. Donaghadee. Height, Above Sea, 80' Above Ground, 1' 6"		
	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.	Inches.	Ratio.	Days.
1865	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	59.41	101	263	—	—	—
1866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	58.85	100	264	—	—	—
1867	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	57.72	101	265	—	—	—
1868	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	56.82	99	266	—	—	—
1869	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	56.68	95	267	—	—	—
1870	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.54	85	268	—	—	—
1871	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.73	84	271	—	—	—
1872	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.68	132	272	—	—	—
1873	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.06	89	273	—	—	—
1874	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.85	90	—	—	—	—
1875	59.33	90	275	57.44	98	275	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1876	57.09	256	122	42.73	509	—	—	—	—	55.94	256	123	—	—	—
1877	57.85	224	216	44.87	224	240	58.18	224	225	42.45	224	259	56.55	184	226
1878	55.59	91	113	56.72	91	215	42.05	91	258	—	—	—	56.09	91	—
1879	55.55	90	272	55.37	99	197	59.53	99	245	—	—	—	54.84	89	255
1880	56.74	91	258	54.09	91	178	55.81	91	217	54.49	81	164	52.51	81	194
1881	55.90	167	267	57.90	187	300	53.38	187	258	—	—	—	56.45	167	252
1882	57.64	115	—	62.65	115	216	46.09	115	270	—	—	—	54.58	115	227
1883	55.85	108	183	56.55	108	187	62.54	108	270	51.44	108	176	55.88	108	125
1884	57.94	108	—	57.60	105	186	41.97	105	270	54.13	105	188	57.33	208	215
1885	58.54	94	—	59.38	58	179	54.18	58	246	55.28	58	171	53.87	62	—
1886	52.77	218	225	56.46	118	325	60.54	118	285	52.51	118	189	56.49	218	202
1887	59.65	78	—	56.77	73	184	59.76	73	229	51.97	73	134	51.74	73	—
1888	57.08	95	—	58.65	85	165	57.16	85	257	59.80	95	165	53.55	95	211
1889	56.59	98	—	57.50	98	189	60.55	98	245	59.62	98	185	59.80	98	229
1890	54.74	94	—	54.38	94	194	41.41	94	254	52.28	94	175	57.96	94	226
1891	57.37	94	—	59.28	94	185	57.51	94	255	53.58	94	185	59.51	94	213
1892	58.27	85	—	60.21	84	182	60.94	84	241	51.14	84	204	59.97	84	250
1893	55.91	95	—	52.51	88	190	59.25	88	224	59.55	88	183	58.19	88	204
1894	54.79	97	—	55.18	87	186	59.80	87	241	59.32	97	189	51.93	97	220
1895	52.27	94	—	57.00	95	194	55.35	94	259	—	—	—	51.43	94	214
1896	56.63	95	—	55.18	85	174	59.50	85	255	52.70	95	200	59.55	95	214
1897	55.97	208	—	59.85	208	284	41.46	208	241	49.72	208	—	54.15	165	222
1898	55.88	95	—	57.54	95	258	55.77	95	245	—	—	—	58.54	95	228
1899	52.53	204	167	57.54	204	181	49.90	204	212	—	—	—	52.50	184	213
1900	51.83	154	140	44.94	114	300	48.85	114	219	—	—	—	57.77	114	223
1901	50.63	99	144	54.75	99	152	42.93	99	255	—	—	—	59.50	99	200
1902	59.81	90	165	58.47	96	172	55.77	96	259	—	—	—	58.89	96	206
1903	57.11	180	215	44.65	120	243	48.82	120	265	—	—	—	57.85	120	253
1904	59.62	96	—	57.65	96	—	59.77	96	—	—	—	—	59.70	96	—
Total	474.75	2090	—	3164.55	3090	—	3139.77	2090	—	347.86	2661	4345	515.89	2790	—
Arithmetical Average	39.15	160.90	—	38.75	160.00	—	48.87	80.78	—	32.59	85.96	174	51.81	89.75	—
Probable true Average	35.18	—	—	38.83	—	—	43.77	—	—	31.85	—	—	56.88	—	—

21

NEAGH DRAINAGE.

on the recorded observations at Banbridge, Armagh, Garvagh, and Belfast, or over a period of 40 years—continued.

[illegible]

Collective and

TABLE

BANN AND LOUGH

Determination of the probable true Average Rainfall at 43 Stations based
which extend from 1865 to 1904,

Year	No. 30. Lurgan (Belle Vue).			No. 31. Emmerson Glendale.			No. 32. Aghalea (Lurgan).			No. 33. Edward Street Station (Newry).			No. 34. Newcastle (Ferryhill).		
	Height, Above Sea, 280' Above Ground, 2'			Height, Above Sea, 300' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 300' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 210' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 12' Above Ground, 1' 8"		
	Inches.	Rain.	Days.	Inches.	Rain.	Days.	Inches.	Rain.	Days.	Inches.	Rain.	Days.	Inches.	Rain.	Days.
1865	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1867	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1868	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1869	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1870	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1871	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1872	—	—	—	—	—	—	46' 79	155	222	—	—	—	—	—	—
1873	—	—	—	—	—	—	33' 84	59	378	—	—	—	—	—	—
1874	—	—	—	—	—	—	38' 03	97	378	—	—	—	—	—	—
1875	—	—	—	—	—	—	53' 03	85	372	—	—	—	—	—	—
1876	—	—	—	45' 59	169	205	30' 33	159	374	44' 72	159	181	—	—	—
1877	—	—	—	45' 74	155	339	41' 40	128	229	69' 70	124	221	33' 30	134	306
1878	—	—	—	40' 02	81	—	30' 02	81	195	30' 54	91	155	45' 23	91	265
1879	—	—	—	38' 04	84	—	33' 04	99	139	38' 02	94	107	55' 51	99	374
1880	—	—	—	30' 00	90	173	30' 31	91	187	51' 16	91	141	48' 39	91	280
1881	—	—	—	41' 02	100	211	35' 09	100	361	41' 30	107	143	35' 39	107	219
1882	54' 39	213	261	47' 39	113	267	39' 30	118	319	44' 25	113	138	38' 33	135	229
1883	38' 32	196	265	40' 38	106	293	31' 39	100	178	38' 00	100	130	35' 04	100	210
1884	32' 28	133	307	39' 30	104	—	32' 41	133	194	33' 38	135	141	51' 42	141	182
1885	20' 29	35	196	38' 30	88	314	38' 31	35	272	38' 41	35	210	48' 24	35	167
1886	35' 36	150	228	40' 31	110	233	34' 04	150	219	38' 68	130	198	37' 00	110	332
1887	30' 34	78	166	32' 34	79	144	21' 12	75	166	28' 32	73	113	31' 03	73	143
1888	39' 47	93	131	34' 13	85	206	—	—	—	35' 30	85	130	49' 31	95	135
1889	32' 04	89	304	34' 31	89	206	—	—	—	41' 00	99	135	40' 12	98	183
1890	27' 25	94	304	30' 38	95	314	31' 34	33	234	30' 09	96	122	40' 12	96	185
1891	25' 34	84	296	30' 32	81	137	30' 33	86	141	40' 04	94	119	35' 08	94	171
1892	37' 15	85	208	33' 09	86	294	30' 28	86	153	30' 79	95	190	45' 45	96	182
1893	32' 45	80	235	35' 04	90	213	33' 72	80	—	30' 35	80	—	35' 30	80	168
1894	29' 00	87	314	37' 00	97	245	—	—	—	27' 32	87	—	31' 49	97	309
1895	29' 25	86	186	37' 00	96	227	—	—	—	50' 33	96	100	33' 50	96	171
1896	33' 03	96	134	27' 02	85	398	—	—	—	35' 39	96	—	32' 44	96	172
1897	30' 37	100	180	—	—	—	—	—	—	43' 64	100	251	—	—	—
1898	27' 39	94	123	—	—	—	—	—	—	39' 74	95	205	—	—	—
1899	29' 59	104	175	—	—	—	—	—	—	45' 44	104	306	—	—	—
1900	30' 70	114	137	—	—	—	—	—	—	43' 30	114	219	—	—	—
1901	33' 37	99	135	—	—	—	—	—	—	49' 32	99	302	—	—	—
1902	33' 75	98	184	—	—	—	—	—	—	38' 35	98	209	—	—	—
1903	33' 49	108	130	—	—	—	—	—	—	47' 34	108	241	—	—	—
1904	27' 70	96	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35' 35	96	—	—	—	—
Total, ..	684' 14	2324	—	581' 38	2075	3011	685' 40	2006	3687	1321' 38	2908	—	1692' 03	1862	3747
Unfinished Average, ..	39' 73	99' 37	—	30' 15	86' 32	113	32' 04	109' 30	108	38' 05	108' 37	—	50' 13	96' 30	150
Probable true Average, ..	30' 02	—	—	28' 38	—	—	30' 08	—	—	27' 48	—	—	28' 39	—	—

TABLE

BANN AND LOUGH

Determination of the probable true Average Rainfall at 43 Stations based
which extend from 1865 to 1904,

Year	No. 30. Dillamore (Ballymore)			No. 31. Monaghan (Keshmurry)			No. 32. Antrim (Antrim)			No. 33. Ballymore			No. 34. Loughall		
	Height Above Sea, 30' Above Ground, 1' 8"			Height, Above Sea, 200' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 204' Above Ground, 4' 2"			Height, Above Sea, 400' Above Ground, 1' 4"			Height, Above Sea, 500' Above Ground, 1'		
	Inches	Ratio	Days	Inches	Ratio	Days	Inches	Ratio	Days	Inches	Ratio	Days	Inches	Ratio	Days
1865	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1867	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1868	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1869	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1870	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1871	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1872	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1873	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1874	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1875	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1876	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1877	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1878	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1879	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1880	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1881	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1882	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1883	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1884	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1885	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1886	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1887	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1888	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1889	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1890	37.27	66	225	—	—	—	—	—	—	61.07	95	222	—	—	—
1891	33.99	94	228	—	—	—	—	—	—	40.54	84	152	—	—	—
1892	38.05	98	230	—	—	—	—	—	—	42.45	98	195	—	—	—
1893	33.90	96	238	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	33.83	50	173
1894	33.51	97	254	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.56	97	211	28.93	97	216
1895	32.63	95	212	—	—	—	—	—	—	41.89	94	194	28.42	95	204
1896	30.60	95	254	—	—	—	—	—	—	44.88	95	196	28.17	95	204
1897	37.08	100	262	—	—	—	—	—	—	48.55	100	203	34.77	100	204
1898	35.44	94	270	—	—	—	—	—	—	48.48	95	225	29.47	95	257
1899	40.92	104	244	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.66	104	272
1900	40.72	114	231	—	—	—	—	—	—	46.35	114	—	30.54	114	281
1901	37.95	99	223	—	—	—	—	—	—	40.52	99	—	29.70	99	284
1902	38.80	95	216	—	—	—	—	—	—	37.74	94	—	33.88	94	210
1903	41.80	120	254	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.19	108	—	42.14	108	254
1904	36.23	95	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	35.30	96	—	29.51	96	—
Total	581.06	1000	—	488.50	1214	2523	435.37	1384	1462	548.47	1306	—	567.99	1304	—
Adjusted Average	37.51	99.30	—	33.27	121.22	100	36.30	100.55	103	42.04	100.46	—	35.37	100.17	—
Probable true Average	37.54	—	—	34.38	—	—	35.30	—	—	42.18	—	—	31.82	—	—

TABLE No. 1--continued.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

Determination of the probable true Average Rainfall at 43 Stations based on the recorded observations at Banbridge, Armagh, Garvagh, and Belfast, which extend from 1865 to 1904, or over a period of 40 years--continued.

Year.	No. 40. Colson Glade			No. 41. Mellows.			No. 42. Larne (Dunmough)			No. 43. Crangan (The Ashade)					
	Height, Above Sea, 152' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 680' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 5' Above Ground, 1'			Height, Above Sea, 248' Above Ground, 1'					
	Inches	Rain.	Days	Inches	Rain.	Days	Inches	Rain.	Days	Inches	Rain.	Days			
1866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1866	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1867	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1868	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1869	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1870	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1871	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1872	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1873	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1874	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1875	—	—	—	45 08	85	189	42 20	88	210	55 02	95	184			
1876	38 40	100	284	53 14	109	180	51 72	230	248	55 14	108	209			
1877	35 52	185	281	42 05	104	190	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1878	39 85	91	331	51 37	90	145	46 54	91	200	51 46	94	186			
1879	50 30	89	—	60 52	88	180	53 75	98	203	—	—	—			
1880	50 55	58	180	—	—	—	57 31	91	270	—	—	—			
1881	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1882	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1883	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1884	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1885	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1886	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1887	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1888	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1889	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1890	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1891	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1892	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1893	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1894	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1895	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1896	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1897	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1898	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1899	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1900	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1901	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1902	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1903	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
1904	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
Total, ..	160 11	514	568	337 32	521	575	318 06	458	524	532 52	268	527			
Additional Average,	52 03	152 50	238	45 50	154 20	175	42 02	57 63	255	54 42	98 38	186			
Probable true Average,	51 18	—	—	45 37	—	—	44 55	—	—	54 34	—	—			

ALEX. R. BINNIE,

16th January, 1906.

PRES. INST. C.E.

TABLE No. 2.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE

MONTHLY RAINFALLS OF 7 INCHES OR MORE.

Year.	Month.	Araris II.	Oxlowellon (February).	Moneghog Bogcountry, &c.	Ballymacall	Stewart- Kerry, &c.	Armagh, &c.
1870	October, .	7-69	—	—	—	—	—
1872	December, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1875	January, .	—	17-73	—	—	—	—
"	September, .	—	11-60	—	—	—	—
"	October, .	—	12-25	—	—	—	—
"	November, .	—	9-30	—	—	—	—
1876	December, .	—	19-05	7-31	—	—	—
"	February, .	—	7-50	—	—	—	—
"	August, .	—	7-82	—	—	—	—
"	September, .	—	8-72	—	—	—	—
"	October, .	—	9-15	—	—	—	—
"	November, .	—	11-37	—	—	—	—
1877	January, .	7-42	16-15	—	—	—	—
"	April, .	—	10-10	—	—	—	—
"	October, .	—	7-00	—	—	—	—
"	November, .	—	10-00	—	—	—	—
"	December, .	—	8-50	—	—	—	—
1880	July, .	7-00	—	—	—	—	—
1882	November, .	7-54	—	—	—	—	—
1890	November, .	9-58	—	—	10-10	7-59	—
"	December, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1892	August, .	—	—	—	—	—	7-04
1894	October, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1895	October, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1896	July, .	—	—	—	—	7-26	7-00
1897	June, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1899	December, .	—	—	—	—	—	—
1902	October, .	—	—	—	—	—	—

TABLE No. 3.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

CONSECUTIVE MONTHS WITH 10 INCHES, OR MORE, RAIN.

Year	Months	Ballinacorney, 1	Armagh Observatory, 2	Ballymore, 3	Ashtown, New Banbury, 4	Stoyanacorney, 5	Garragh, 6	Year	Months	Castroville (California), 7
Average Annual Fall,		35.57	31.81	40.76	—	36.74	39.85			
1866	Nov.-Dec.	10.39	—	—	—	—	—	1875	Jan.-Feb.	19.66
1870	Sept.-Oct.	10.90	—	—	—	—	—	"	May-June	10.50
1872	Sept.-Oct.	10.90	—	—	—	—	—	"	June-July	12.25
"	Oct.-Nov.	10.67	—	—	—	—	—	"	July-Aug.	11.86
1873	July-Aug.	—	10.43	—	—	—	—	"	Aug.-Sept.	17.46
1876-77	Dec.-Jan.	—	12.30	—	—	—	—	"	Sept.-Oct.	23.85
1879	June-July	—	—	10.89	—	—	—	"	Oct.-Nov.	21.55
"	July-Aug.	—	—	10.29	—	—	—	"	Nov.-Dec.	14.05
1880	June-July	—	10.13	—	—	—	—			
1882	June-July	—	—	11.80	10.82	—	—			
"	July-Aug.	—	—	10.25	10.29	—	—			
"	Oct.-Nov.	—	—	—	10.61	—	—			
"	Nov.-Dec.	—	—	10.70	12.38	—	—	1876	Jan.-Feb.	12.50
1882-83	Dec.-Jan.	—	—	10.21	—	—	—	"	Feb.-Mar.	10.13
1883	Jan.-Feb.	—	—	10.23	—	—	—	"	Aug.-Sept.	16.54
"	Aug.-Sept.	—	—	10.84	12.00	—	—	"	Sept.-Oct.	17.87
1885	Sept.-Oct.	—	—	—	10.50	—	—	"	Oct.-Nov.	20.52
1886	Oct.-Nov.	—	—	—	10.24	—	—	"	Nov.-Dec.	30.42
"	Nov.-Dec.	—	—	—	11.58	—	—			
1888	June-July	—	—	—	10.67	—	—			
"	July-Aug.	—	—	—	10.19	—	—			
1889	July-Aug.	—	—	—	11.46	10.77	—			
"	Aug.-Sept.	—	—	—	11.29	—	—	1876-77	Dec.-Jan.	35.20
1890	Oct.-Nov.	—	—	12.95	12.72	—	—	"	Jan.-Feb.	18.75
"	Nov.-Dec.	—	—	11.90	12.02	—	—	"	Mar.-April	14.00
1895	July-Aug.	—	10.41	—	—	—	—	"	April-May	16.60
1896	June-July	—	10.27	10.45	11.06	—	—	"	May-June	10.65
"	July-Aug.	—	—	—	—	—	—	"	June-July	10.15
1901	Oct.-Nov.	—	—	10.09	—	—	—	"	July-Aug.	11.50
1902	Oct.-Nov.	—	—	11.48	—	10.45	10.14	"	Sept.-Oct.	10.75
"	Nov.-Dec.	—	—	—	—	—	—	"	Oct.-Nov.	17.00
1902-03	Dec.-Jan.	—	—	10.43	—	10.79	—	"	Nov.-Dec.	18.50
1903	Jan.-Feb.	—	—	10.01	—	—	—			
"	July-Aug.	—	—	—	—	10.59	—			
"	Sept.-Oct.	—	—	10.30	—	—	10.76			

TABLE No. 4.

BANN AND LOUGH NEAGH DRAINAGE.

SHOWING DAILY RAINFALL OF 1 INCH AND MORE.

Year	Date	Armagh, 2	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 4	Cherry (Millsboro), 2	Cherry, 2	Ballymore, 20	Shaw'sboro, 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge, 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20	Bathbridge (Millsboro), 20
1860	May 30th	1.74	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Oct 12th	—	2.26	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 19th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Nov. 24th	—	—	1.35	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1861	July 6th	34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Oct. 23rd	—	—	38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Nov. 13th	—	—	—	100	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1862	Feb. 6th	1.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	May 22nd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	July 24th	—	1.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 18th	—	—	1.52	—	1.61	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1863	Nov. 19th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1874	June 25th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1875	Sept. 20th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Nov. 13th	—	—	—	—	—	2.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1876	Aug. 2nd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1877	Jan. 2nd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Dec. 6th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1878	Aug. 24th	—	—	—	—	—	(1 to 2 in)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Oct. 24th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1879	Sept. 1st	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.75	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Feb. 26th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 14th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 13th	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.75	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 14th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 16th	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1880	Oct. 14th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1881	Jan. 11th	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Sept. 1st	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1882	May 12th	—	—	—	—	—	2.74	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1883	May 12th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	April 26th	—	2.10 (in 2 hrs.)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1886	Nov. 6th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	March 12th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1887	Oct. 26th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1889	June 27th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1894	Aug. 2nd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Oct. 23rd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1895	Dec. 25th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1896	July 27th	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1897	Aug. 24th	—	2.00 (in 4 hrs.)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	Nov. 12th	—	1.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
"	" 27th	—	1.35	—	2.00 (in 12 hrs.)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1898	April 23rd	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

REPORT

BY

SGT ALEXANDER R. BINNIE,

1.

FOR EXCELLENCY THE LORD LIEUTENANT

PREPARED IN OBEEDIENCE OF INSTRUCTIONS BY COMMAND OF HIS EXCELLENCY



DUBLIN.

PRINTED FOR THE MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY HENRY COLE, PRINTER, 25, ABNEY-STREET.

May be purchased, either directly, or through any Bookseller from
H. POPESON, 256, Grafton-street Dublin, or
WILLIAMSON & CO., Ltd., Pall Mall, E.C., and
22, Abchurch-lane, E.C. 4, LONDON, or
JAMES W. BARNES, Edinburgh.

1906.